

**SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

TUESDAY 1 MAY 2012

AT 5PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM,
BECKENHAM SERVICE CENTRE, 66 COLOMBO STREET,
BECKENHAM**

Community Board: Phil Clearwater (Chairperson), Barry Corbett, Paul McMahon, Karolin Potter,
Tim Scandrett, and Sue Wells

Community Board Adviser
Jenny Hughey
Phone 941 5305 DDI
Email: jenny.hughey@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION
PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

| INDEX | PG NO | |
|---------------|--------------|--|
| PART C | 1. | APOLOGIES |
| PART C | 2. | CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – EXTRAORDINARY MEETING 24 APRIL 2012 |
| PART B | 3. | DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT 3.1 David Clark – Control of Air Space 3.2 Strickland Street Community Gardens |
| PART B | 4. | PETITIONS |
| PART B | 5. | NOTICES OF MOTION |
| PART B | 6. | CORRESPONDENCE |
| PART B | 7. | BRIEFINGS |
| PART B | 8. | EARTHQUAKE RECOVERY DESIGN AND CAPABILITY |
| PART A | 9. | ROAD LEGISLATION – JACK HINTON DRIVE |
| PART C | 10. | HAWDON STREET PROPOSED P10 PARKING RESTRICTION |
| PART A | 11. | SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT SELWYN STREET SHOPS MASTER PLAN |
| PART C | 12. | APPLICATION TO SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – SPREYDON TOASTMASTERS |
| PART C | 13. | APPLICATION TO SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – SPREYDON BAPTIST CHURCH |

- PART C 14. APPLICATION TO SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUND – ANNA CONSTABLE**
- PART B 15. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER’S UPDATE**
- PART B 16. ELECTED MEMBERS’ INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
- PART B 17. BOARD MEMBERS’ QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**
- PART C 18. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC**

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF EXTRAORDINARY MEETING MINUTES – 24 APRIL 2012

The minutes of the Board's extraordinary meeting of 24 April 2012, will be **separately circulated** to Board members.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the report of the Board's extraordinary meeting be confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

3.1 DAVID CLARK

David Clark will attend the meeting to talk about mechanisms to allow residents to control the air space above their properties and across the wider city.

3.2 STRICKLAND STREET COMMUNITY GARDENS

Members of the Board of the Gardens will attend the meeting to update the members on the work of the Gardens.

4. PETITIONS

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

7. BRIEFINGS

8. EARTHQUAKE DESIGN AND CAPABILITY

9. ROAD LEGALISATION – JACK HINTON DRIVE

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| General Manager responsible: | General Manager City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608 |
| Officer responsible: | Unit Manager, Asset and Network Planning |
| Author: | Weng Kei Chen, Asset Engineer |

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's recommendation to the Council pursuant to Section 52 of the Public Works Act 1981, to declare the existing section of land, of an area 35 square metres being Pt Lot 2 DP73798 to be a road.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The parcel of land Pt Lot DP 73798 is land held by the Council for 'road diversion'. It is the balance of road land after the formation of Jack Hinton Drive in 1998.
3. It is a narrow 0.20 metre wide strip of land along the northern side of the road between Twigger Street and Whiteleigh Avenue. This section was created primarily for the Council to control vehicle access along this section of the road and at the same time providing a tool for Council to recover some of the roading cost from properties requiring betterment to their properties by having frontages onto legal road. The location of the land is shown in the **attachment**.
4. Addington Retirement Village development has road frontage onto Whiteleigh Avenue however this development required vehicle access across the "road diversion" land. To formalise this arrangement requires a creation of easement rights across the land or the Council declaring the land as road.
5. This retirement development comprises of a three storey aged care facility building with 87 retirement units. It is also the last site to be developed along this section of road and it is therefore appropriate that this narrow strip of land be declared as road. This will allow infrastructural networks to install their distribution network and to service the development without creating further easements over this narrow portion of land. Currently Orion of the only infrastructural network that has easement rights over this narrow section for its electrical distribution.
6. In lieu of payment for rights of access this portion of land; Addington Retirement Village development will construct a two metre footpath along the northern side of Jack Hinton Drive for Twigger Street to Whiteleigh Avenue. This will complete the pedestrian facilities for this section of the road. The construction of the footpath will require the removal of all the vegetation along the Retirement Village frontage and the neighbouring residential dwellings towards Whiteleigh Avenue. This vegetation is three shrubs and low growing ground cover. The construction also includes the realignment of 40 metres of kerb and channel to provide adequate width for the footpath. The remaining footpath toward Twigger Street will only require removal of the berm and will not affect the existing Lime trees.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. Addington Retirement Village will provide funding of \$25,000 which is equivalent to the valuation obtained from a registered valuer. The estimated cost of the footpath construction is \$60,000 and the shortfall will be funded from the Councils subdivision budget.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes, the \$35,000 is available from the Subdivision Budget for this type of work.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. To permit vehicle access and infrastructural network distributions requires the Council owned section to be legalised as road.

9. Cont'd

10. The section as defined in Pt Lot 2 DP 73798 is in freehold and will require the Council's resolution pursuant to Section 52 of Public Works Act 1981 to declare it as a road.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. Yes, as above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. LTCCP page 152, "Streets and Transports Objectives" – to provide public street frontages to properties.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. The construction of the footpath will complete all the road facilities required of the road.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Yes. This action is consistent with the objectives of the City Plan.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. Yes.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board recommends to the Council pursuant to Section 52 of the Public Works Act 1981 to declare this section of land, of area 35 square metres being Pt Lot 2 DP 73798, as a road.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

10. HAWDON STREET PROPOSED P10 PARKING RESTRICTION

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| General Manager responsible: | General Manager, City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608 |
| Officer responsible: | Unit Manager, Transport & Greenspace |
| Author: | Chang Xi, Traffic Engineer |

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval to replace a motorcycle parking space on the west side of Hawdon Street outside number 55 with a 10 minute parking restriction.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Council staff have received a request from DIRTZONE Ltd that the current motorcycle parking be removed from outside their premises at 55 Hawdon Street and that a P10 parking restriction be installed. Please refer to the **attached** plan.
3. There is no longer motorcycle parking demand outside these premises due to a change in business, from a motorcycle dealer to a dirt bike merchandise store. A number of site visits confirmed that this space is not utilised for motorcycle parking, therefore the P10 parking will be more beneficial to businesses in the area.
4. This area is zoned as Business 3 (Inner City Industrial). A site parking survey found that the parking demand is very high in this area and a P10 parking restriction ensures the greatest turn over of vehicles parking. Consultation was carried out with adjacent businesses. Please see section 13 for the results of the consultation.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$500.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. The installation of signs and road makings are covered by Transport and Greenspace Operational budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides the Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
8. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and traffic control devices.
9. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes - Safety and Community.

10. Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. The recommendations align with the Council's Parking Strategy 2003.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. All residents, tenants and property owners adjacent to the proposed parking restriction outside 55 Hawdon Street were sent a consultation document. Of the nine documents distributed, two responses were received. Both were in support of the proposed parking restriction.

16. There is no residents' association at this location.

17. The Officer in Charge – Parking Enforcement agrees with this recommendation.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board:

Revoke the following:

(a) That any parking restriction on the west side of Hawdon Street commencing at its intersection with Wordsworth Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 16.1 metres be revoked.

Approve the following:

(b) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Hawdon Street commencing at the intersection with Wordsworth Street and extending in a northerly direction for 10 metres.

(c) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 10 minutes on the west side of Hawdon Street commencing at a point 10 metres north from its intersection with Wordsworth Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 6.1 metres.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

11. SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT SELWYN STREET SHOPS MASTER PLAN

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| General Manager responsible: | General Manager Strategy and Planning, DDI 941-8281 |
| Officer responsible: | Programme Manager Healthy Environment |
| Author: | John Scallan, Planner |

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to:
 - (a) inform the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board and the Council of the community's response to the Draft Selwyn Street Shops Master Plan (the Plan);
 - (b) recommend to Council whether or not submissions on the Plan should be heard (in accordance with the Council's resolution on 24 November 2011); and
 - (c) provide an indication of the initial staff response to the submissions and proposed direction for finalising the Plan, in the event the Council decides not to hear the submissions

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Plan was approved as a project by the Council in June 2011 to provide a vision, framework and action implementation plan to support the recovery and rebuild of the Selwyn Street Shops suburban centre, which was badly damaged in the Canterbury earthquakes.
3. Initial direction for the Plan was obtained via a series of focus groups and public workshops in August 2011. Having been approved by the Council for public notification in November, the Plan was made available for public consultation over a seven-week period from mid December 2011. The Plan drew 49 submissions from both individuals and organisations within the community.
4. The report on the consultation summary and findings on the draft Plan is provided as **Attachment 1**. In total 283 submission points were raised. These showed that the majority (83%) of submitters liked the proposed actions. Regardless of whether or not submitters indicated that they would like to be heard, all comments (both positive and negative) have been assessed. Where it is considered that suggested changes would work within the wider framework of proposals and improve the Plan these have been recognised for inclusion.
5. In general, given the level of support, staff consider that the draft actions should be retained, with some amendments to address the matters that arose through the submissions. On balance given the level of consultation and support for the plan, the need for expediency in finalising the plan and the opportunity for further engagement in the implementation stage, it is recommended that hearings are not held.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. Preparation of the Plan within the Strategy and Planning Group's budget was confirmed through the 2011/12 Annual Plan process. Any hearings would fall within this plan preparation budget. Preparatory implementation work is proposed in the coming financial year, with the majority of funding for implementation of the Plan to be considered through the Long Term Plan process in 2013.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

7. Yes, funding for preparation of the Plan has been provided within the Strategy and Planning Group's 2011/12 budget.

11. Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. There are no immediate legal considerations, other than having undertaken consultation in accordance with *S.82 Principles of consultation* of the Local Government Act 2002 (LGA). In summary, these require that, in relation to any decision or other matter:
- (a) affected persons should have reasonable access to relevant information in a manner and format appropriate to their preferences and needs;
 - (b) affected persons should be encouraged to present their views;
 - (c) affected persons should be given clear information concerning the purpose of the consultation and the scope of the decisions to be made following consideration of the views presented;
 - (d) affected persons who wish to have their views considered should be provided with a reasonable opportunity to do so in a manner and format appropriate to their preferences and needs;
 - (e) the views presented should be received with an open mind and given due consideration;
 - (f) affected persons who present their views should be provided with information concerning the decision/s and reasons for the decision/s.

The Council is to observe these principles in whatever manner it considers appropriate in the circumstances.

9. Staff have met with officials from CERA and will continue to do so to ensure that the work on the Plan is informed by and is consistent with the Recovery Strategy and Recovery Plans. There is no requirement under *S. 19 Development of Recovery Plans* of the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Act 2011 for recovery plans for areas outside the central city to be subject to public hearings.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. Yes, as above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Yes, completion of the Plan is provided for within Activity Management Plan *1.0 City and Community Long-Term Policy and Planning* updated as at 1 July 2011.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, as above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. The Plan is consistent with relevant strategies, including the Urban Development Strategy.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. Yes.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. The Council has endeavoured to ensure the Plan encapsulates the community's vision for the Selwyn Street Shops rebuild and recovery, by:

11. Cont'd

- proceeding on a community-specific basis for master plan-related community consultation, taking into consideration the size and nature of each suburban centre.
- flagging early and often throughout the process that there would be two phases of community consultation.
- seeking ideas from stakeholders early in the process, including the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board, property and business owners, social and environmental interests and the community generally. Over 50 people participated in these focus group and public meetings in August 2011.
- Having ongoing meetings and dialogue with individuals and organisations from the community.
- Having the Plan considered by the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board prior to the Council in November 2011, for approval for the final phase of community consultation.
 - Included in this consultation phase:
 - a seven-week submission period, from Monday, 19 December until 5pm on Friday, 17 February 2012;
 - publicising the details of it via newspapers, the radio, posters and local networks.
 - Delivery of:
 - a cover letter explaining the process to date, process forward and consultation details (what, where, when and how), a full copy of the Plan, a summary of the Plan, including an official submission form, to all land owners and businesses within the Selwyn Street Shops
 - a cover letter, the summary Plan and an official submission form to local residents in the area surrounding the Selwyn Street Shops.
 - The official submission form asked submitters to state which actions they liked, disliked and why; which actions they considered the most important; of those, which actions they considered the most urgent; any other comments they had about any aspects of the Plan or process; if submissions are heard, whether they wish to be heard if hearings are to take place; and, if they wish to assist with the implementation of any actions, which ones. Written submissions were also accepted via the Council's Have Your Say website and free-form emails or letters.
 - Placing of hard copies of the summary Plan, full Plan and official submission form at all Council libraries and service centres open.
 - Two drop-in display sessions were held in the church hall in Selwyn Street in early February.
 - Copies of the draft Plan were also distributed upon request and to those who have expressed an interest in receiving a copy.
 - Copies of the draft plan summary were provided to a local Addington community group (Addington well-being, Manuka Cottage).
 - Tangata whenua values and objectives have been sought via Mahaanui Kurataiao Ltd (MKT).

16. The Plan drew 49 submissions from both individuals and organisations within the community.

11. Cont'd

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board:

- (a) note the overall summary of findings on the Draft Selwyn Street Shops Master Plan and the staff comments in relation to each action; and
- (b) recommend to the Council that the 49 submissions received on the draft Selwyn Street Shops Master Plan not be heard; and
- (c) endorse amendment of the Plan in accordance with the staff comments in relation to each action before it is considered by the Council for adoption, at a later date.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

11. Cont'd

BACKGROUND

17. In normal circumstances, the Council would hear submissions on a plan of this nature, in order to maintain community confidence in the Council and encourage ownership of the plan. In considering the questions of whether to hold hearings staff have taken into account the following matters:
- The extent and nature of consultation undertaken to date: As noted in paragraph 14, there has been considerable opportunity for both verbal and written community input into and feedback on the Plan. With 149 likes and 30 dislikes of the projects identified to achieve the vision overall, majority support for the Plan is evident as a result. The Plan also anticipates further community consultation being undertaken during implementation of the Plan, to develop the detail around implementation projects, and various actions being implemented by local organisations, either separately or in conjunction with the Council and other partner organisations.
 - The number and proportion of submitters wishing to be heard: Of the 48 submissions received on the Plan, 13 (27 per cent) of submitters wished to be heard if submissions are heard, 28 (57 per cent) don't wish to be heard and 8 (16 per cent) didn't say either way.
 - The number and nature of actions and submission points on which submitters wish to be heard: All nine of the draft actions have been identified by submitters that expressed a desire to be heard. In total there are 52 submission points from these submitters (see table 1 in Attachment 1).
 - The level of support (like/dislike) for the actions on which submitters wish to be heard: Overall 85 per cent of submissions liked the draft actions, with only 15 per cent disliking them. Each of the draft actions had more submissions that liked the proposal than disliked it (see table 1 in Attachment 1).
 - The actions on which submitters most frequently wish to be heard: S1 – Street and Movement had the most submissions (10). B2 – 299 Selwyn Street (8) and N1 – Selwyn St Reserve (7) had the next most submissions (see table 1 in Attachment 1).
 - Circumstances which currently justify a more streamlined approach than the hearing of submissions for the Selwyn Street Shops master plan include:
 - Availability of resources: A Hearings Panel of elected representatives would need to be appointed. For the four draft master plans that have completed their final consultation phase (Lyttelton, Sydenham, Linwood Village and Selwyn Street Shops), it is estimated that seven working days would be required for the holding of hearings and deliberation on the submissions, of which a full day would be required for the Selwyn Street Shops. This assumes that each submitter would only have 10 minutes to verbally present their submissions, similar to the Annual Plan hearings process. The likely timing for hearings also presents a timetabling difficulty as it clashes with the hearings schedule for the Annual Plan. There would also be implications for Council staff administering the process.
 - Alignment with the Annual Plan process: In order to progress the implementation of the master plans, the Council needs to confirm its work programme and funding for 2012/13 before the end of June 2012. Failure to include implementation projects within the 2012/13 Annual Plan could cause a 12 month delay, prior to the next opportunity to programme projects in the Long Term Plan review in 2013.
 - Expediency: Finalising the master plans quickly will provide property owners and the community with more certainty over the context for the rebuild of their centre.
18. Table 1 in Attachment 1 summarises the projects that are the subject of submissions by the 13 (27 per cent) of submitters who wish to be heard.
19. On balance, it is recommended that submissions should not be heard in view of the level of consultation and support for the plan, and taking into account the potential impacts on implementation that could be caused by delaying adoption of the final version. Further community consultation is anticipated during implementation of the Plan, particularly with reference to the street layout and reserve actions.

11. Cont'd

20. Should the Community Board and Council decide to hear submissions a Hearings panel will need to be appointed and arrangements made for the hearing including timetabling and circulation of the officer report. Both the hearing format and officer report are likely to be similar to those regarding area plans.

STAFF COMMENTS

21. The tables in Attachment 1 summarise submissions for each project and provide staff comments as to how the Plan should be amended in relation to each draft action. In general, given the level of support for the draft actions, staff consider that they can be retained with some amendment to address the matters raised through the submissions. Staff do not consider that any additional actions are required.

12. APPLICATION TO SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – SPREYDON TOASTMASTERS

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| General Manager responsible: | General Manager, Community Services Group, DDI 941-8607 |
| Officer responsible: | Community Support Unit Manager |
| Assessment undertaken by: | Jay Sepie, Strengthening Communities Adviser |

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board to consider Toastmasters International (Spreydon) application towards the Spreydon Toastmasters Club Establishment project of \$1,000 for funding from its 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In 2011/12, the total budget available for allocation in the Spreydon/Heathcote Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. Further funding of \$15,172 has been added to this amount as a result of an under spend from the Metropolitan Small Grants Fund. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
3. The purpose of the fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
4. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, the Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
5. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
 - (a) legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
 - (b) projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
 - (c) projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

The Council also made a note that: *"Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."*

6. Based on this criteria, the application from Toastmasters International (Spreydon) for Toastmasters Club Establishment is eligible for funding.
7. Detailed information on the application and staff comments are included in the **attached** Decision Matrix.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. There is currently \$34,793 remaining in the Board's 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. There are no legal considerations.

12. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Refer to the attached Decision Matrix.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board approve a grant of \$,1000 from its 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund to Toastmasters International (Spreydon) for Toastmasters Club Establishment towards the Spreydon Toastmasters Club Establishment.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

13. APPLICATION TO SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND - SPREYDON BAPTIST CHURCH

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| General Manager responsible: | General Manager, Community Services Group, DDI 941-8607 |
| Officer responsible: | Community Support Unit Manager Carolyn Gallagher |
| Assessment undertaken by: | Jay Sepie |

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board to consider Spreydon Baptist Church application for Family Week – in particular the Family EXPO at the requested amount of \$2500.00 for funding from its 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund towards the promotion and volunteer costs of the Family Week Expo Day.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In 2011/12, the total budget available for allocation in the Spreydon/Heathcote Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. Further funding of \$15,172 has been added to this amount as a result of an under spend from the Metropolitan Small Grants Fund. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
3. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
4. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, the Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
5. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
 - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
 - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
 - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

The Council also made a note that: *"Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."*

6. Based on this criteria, the application from Spreydon Baptist Church for Family Week – in particular the Family EXPO is eligible for funding.
7. Detailed information on the application and staff comments are included in the attached Decision Matrix. (**Attachment 1**)

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. There is currently \$34,793 remaining in the Board's 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. There are no legal considerations.

13. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Refer to the attached Decision Matrix.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board approve a grant of \$2500.00 from its 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund to Spreydon Baptist Church for Family Week – in particular towards the promotion and volunteer costs of the Family Week Expo Day.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

14. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE 2011/12 YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUNDING APPLICATION – ANNA CONSTABLE

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| General Manager responsible: | General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8986 |
| Officer responsible: | Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports |
| Author: | Sarah Benton, Community Recreation Adviser 941 5107 |

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present to the Board an application for funding assistance from the Spreydon/Heathcote 2011/12 Youth Achievement Scheme fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Funding is being sought by Anna Constable, 16 years old from Beckenham, to participate in the Junior Tall Ferns (JTF) U19 (basketball) programme which includes a tournament in China from 25 April – 8 May 2012 and a Fédération Internationale de Basketball Amateur (FIBA) Oceania World Qualifying Series in Wellington from 20-22 September 2012.
3. The following table details event expenses and funding requested by the applicant.

| EXPENSES | Cost (\$) |
|------------------------|--------------------|
| JTF Preparation Camp | 346 |
| China Flights | 942.50 |
| Ground transport | 125 |
| Meals | 25 |
| Insurance | 212.50 |
| Travel Visas | 175 |
| Admin Fees | 25 |
| Uniform | 37.50 |
| Total Cost | \$ 1,888.50 |
| Total Raised | 300 |
| Total Requested | \$ 500 |

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. The applicant has never received funding from the Spreydon/Heathcote Youth Achievement scheme.
5. There is currently a balance of \$4,550 available in the 2011/12 Youth Achievement Scheme fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Yes see page 184, regarding Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. There are no legal issues to be considered.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

8. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

9. Yes.

14. Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

10. Yes, Community Grants (pg 176), Strengthening Communities (pg 172), and Recreation and Sports Services (pg.108).

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. Application aligns with the Strengthening Communities Strategy, Youth Strategy and the Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy.
12. Application also aligns with the following Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board Objectives: 'Increased participation of Spreydon/Heathcote residents in local and city-wide recreation events/programmes.'

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

13. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board allocate Anna Constable \$500 from the 2011/12 Youth Achievement Scheme fund to participate in the Junior Tall Ferns (U19) programme including a tournament in China from 25 April – 8 May 2012 and a FIBA Oceania World Qualifying Series in Wellington from 20-22 September.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

14. Cont'd

BACKGROUND

14. Anna attends Cashmere High School where she has played Basketball for four years and is currently their Head Girl. She has been captain of the Senior A Basketball team at Cashmere High for the last two years and is also a regular member of the national age group teams. Anna has also been a member of the Cashmere High School Sports Council since her first year at the school. The School Sports Coordinator, Taka Kuze, describes her as; *“very well organised and always shows great care and passion towards sport and our school in general”*.
15. In early February 2012, Anna was selected for the Junior Tall Ferns (U19) Basketball Team. Basketball New Zealand has prioritised the team in 2012 in order to help them qualify for the World Championships. The Junior Tall Ferns (U19) programme in 2012 includes three preparation camps and a Four Nations Tournament in China. The team's main activity is the FIBA Oceania World Qualifying Series against Australia in September. If the team is successful they will then attend the FIBA U19 World Championships in 2013.
16. Anna is fundraising by putting money aside from her part time job and she is also planning to run a sausage sizzle at a local park during Saturday football.

1. 5. 2012

- 21 -

- 15. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**
- 16. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
- 17. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**
- 18. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC (ATTACHED).**